

Common Arrangements for Gas ("CAG") Network Modelling Assumptions

12th December 2008



Overview of presentation

- Background to CAG
- Demand Scenario
 - Winter Peak Day
 - Median Winter Day
 - Summer Minimum Day
- Network modelling assumptions
 - Boundary Pressure Conditions
 - Supply Source Flow & Pressure Conditions
- Result Assumption Details
 - SNIP's export to ROI & subsequent fuel gas savings



Background to CAG

- Bord Gais Networks (BGN) was requested to validate certain aspects of the preliminary CAG Cost Benefit analysis; namely potential benefits from
 - Fuel gas savings
 - Increased inventory product capacity on an all island network
- The benefits are based on Maximising SNIP's, allowing a portion of ROI demand to be supplied from SNIP via S/N Pipeline
 - This operating configuration allows for potential of benefits in terms of reduced fuel-gas at Brighouse Bay and potentially increased access to inventory product
 - This operating configurations assumes that the two systems are joined at Gormanston



Demand Scenario

- Hydraulic models based on TDS 2008 Central Demand, Base Supply scenarios:
 - Corrib as per CAG model (Year 1 2009/10);
 - Shannon (Year 1 2012/13);
 - Inch production and storage ceasing in 2013/14;
 - No NI storage or new indigenous production (aside from Corrib);
 - ESB Aghada 430 MW CCGT from October 2009;
 - Whitegate 445 MW CCGT from October 2010;
 - Quinn and AES Kilroot CCGTs from October 2011; plus
 - Provision for 2 x 100 MW OCGTs
- Peak day, Median Day and Minimum Summer day analysed to allow for approximation of the annual volume of fuel gas savings using a load duration curve



Demand Scenario – Winter Peak Day Demand

| Demand (Gwhr/d) | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ROI | 247.50 | 260.34 | 277.28 | 280.98 | 281.75 | 287.06 | 289.97 | 293.93 | 299.92 | 304.28 |
| NI | 84.85 | 86.96 | 89.18 | 110.13 | 111.95 | 113.96 | 115.96 | 117.96 | 119.97 | 121.97 |



Demand Scenario – Winter Median Day Demand

| Demand (Gwhr/d) | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ROI | 184.2 | 194.0 | 203.8 | 199.1 | 199.8 | 201.6 | 203.0 | 205.8 | 207.8 | 209.8 |
| NI | 75.5 | 76.5 | 78.0 | 98.3 | 99.8 | 101.1 | 102.5 | 103.9 | 105.3 | 106.7 |



Demand Scenario – Summer Minimum Day Demand

| Demand (Gwhr/d) | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ROI | 104.1 | 105.5 | 115.7 | 114.6 | 103.9 | 103.9 | 104.6 | 105.4 | 105.7 | 106.0 |
| NI | 61.7 | 62.1 | 62.4 | 81.6 | 81.9 | 82.2 | 82.6 | 82.9 | 83.3 | 83.6 |



Network Modelling Assumptions

- Developed a reference case for Brighouse Bay usage, both throughput & fuel, based on existing network configuration:
 - Contractual 8.08mscmd limit at Twynholm and;
 - Maximum SNIP's MOP of 75bar-g
- CAG hydraulic modelling analysed the following scenarios:
 - No contractual 8.08mscmd limit at Twynholm, whilst maintaining a flat flow profile, with SNIP's MOP of 75bar-g
 - No contractual 8.08mscmd limit at Twynholm, whilst maintaining a flat flow profile, with increased SNIP's MOP of 85bar-g
 - ROI & NI operated as one system



Network Modelling Assumptions

- Boundary Pressure Conditions:
 - Minimum 2.5Barg drop assumed across Twynholm AGI for station losses
 - 2 pressure regimes analysed upstream of Dublin City Gates
 - Current operational minimum 50Barg
 - Increased minimum upstream pressure of 55Barg
 - Minimum upstream pressure of 30 barg at Coolkeeragh AGI
- Supply Source Flow & Pressure Conditions
 - Flat flow assumed at:
 - Moffat, Beattock, Twynholm & Brighouse Bay
 - Corrib & Shannon
 - Loughshinny, landfall of IC1
 - Pressure set point at Gormanston, landfall of IC2 Interconnectors absorbing ROI diurnal swing
 - Beattock Discharge pressure set to 85Barg



Result Assumption Details – SNIP's export into ROI

- Annual SNIP's exports were calculated taking a weighted average of the three different demand days analysed
- Fuel gas usage was estimated to be 0.5% of throughput at Brighouse Bay =>Annual Fuel gas savings (GWhr/y) was estimated to be 0.5% of the annual SNIP's export
- Annual fuel gas savings (GWhr/y) was then valued by means of a range from 60p/therm to 100p/therm. Conversion of fuel gas savings into Euros (€/y) assumed an exchange rate of £1 = €1.28, based on the exchange rate at the time.

